

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/819,687	03/29/2001		Eiji Natori	109121	3151
25944	7590	08/25/2004		EXAM	INER
OLIFF & BI P.O. BOX 19	•	PLC	GUERRERO, MARIA F		
ALEXANDR	-	320	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2822	

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{A}}}}}}}}}}$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/819,687	NATORI, EIJI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Maria Guerrero	2822				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	May 2004.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ters, prosecution as to the ments is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 11-25,27-40,52-55,57,59 and 60 is/ 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-22,52-55 and 6  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 23-25,27-40,57 and 59 is/are reject  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	$\underline{0}$ is/are withdrawn from conted.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ccepted or b) objected to	-				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8-5-04</u>.</li> </ol>	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/819,687

Art Unit: 2822

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed May 14, 2004.

#### Status of Claims

2. Claims 1-10, 26, 41-51, 56, and 58 are canceled. Claims 11-25, 27-40 and 52-55, 57, 59, and 60 are pending.

## Election/Restrictions

3. This application contains claims 11-22 and 52-55 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 10 and claim 60 being withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 23-25, 27-40, 57 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Paz de Araujo et al. (U.S. 6,110,531).
- 5. Paz de Araujo et al. teaches feeding an electromagnetic wave and active species of a substance, which is at least part of raw material to a region on a substrate to form a

ceramic film (col. 6, lines 50-55, col. 9, lines 8-40). Paz de Araujo et al. teaches a method of mixing a ferroelectric precursor with an active species and applying the film by misted CVD process (Fig. 3, col. 5, lines 21-30, 45-56, col. 13, lines 50-65, col. 14, lines 1-10). Paz de Araujo et al. discloses the active species being a radical or ion of the raw material species (Fig. 3, col. 5, lines 21-30, col. 8, lines 8-30). Paz de Araujo et al. shows the active species being oxygen or nitrogen or inert argon gas, the active species being fed to the substrate (Fig. 3). Paz de Araujo et al. teaches forming a first ceramic film in an amorphous state (having low crystallinity) (col. 2, lines 19-25, col. 14, lines 50-65). Paz de Araujo et al. shows the thickness of the film being in the range of 50 to 5000 angstroms (col. 4, lines 24-27).

6. Paz de Araujo et al. teaches a means for forming ceramic film including s means for feeding an electromagnetic wave and active species to the region smaller than the entire surface of the substrate (Fig. 2, 4, col. 6, lines 1-55).

In addition, the elements must be arranged as required by the claim, but this is not an ipsissimis verbis test, i.e., identity of terminology is not required. In re Bond, 910 F.2d 831, 15 USPQ2d 1566 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

# Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed May 14, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Claims 23-25, 27-40, 57 and 59 stand rejected.

Applicant argued that Paz de Araujo et al. does not teach forming a film-forming region having affinity to ceramics to be formed and a non-film forming region having no affinity to ceramics to be formed, to form self-alignably a ceramic film in the film-forming

region. The examiner agreed that the exact terminology is not recited in Paz de Araujo et al. reference. However, in a broad interpretation of the claims, a person of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that Paz de Araujo et al. shows the steps as claimed because the steps of forming the film-forming region, the non-film forming region, and the self-alignably ceramic film are taught by Paz de Araujo et al. reference (see Fig. 10-11, col. 13, lines 19-45, col. 14, lines 10-65). In addition, the elements must be arranged as required by the claim, but this is not an ipsissimis verbis test, i.e., identity of terminology is not required. In re Bond, 910 F.2d 831, 15 USPQ2d 1566 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Furthermore, during patent examination, the pending claims must be "given \*>their< broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification." > In re Hyatt, 211 F.3d 1367, 1372, 54 USPQ2d 1664, 1667 (Fed. Cir. 2000). While the claims of issued patents are interpreted in light of the specification, prosecution history, prior art and other claims, this is not the mode of claim interpretation to be applied during examination. During examination, the claims must be interpreted as broadly as their terms reasonably allow. > In re American Academy of Science Tech Center, F.3d , 2004 WL 1067528 (Fed. Cir. May 13, 2004)(The USPTO uses a different standard for construing claims than that used by district courts; during examination the USPTO must give claims their broadest reasonable interpretation.) < This means that the words of the claim must be given their plain meaning unless applicant has provided a clear definition in the specification. In re Zletz, 893 F.2d 319, 321, 13 USPQ2d 1320, 1322 (Fed. Cir.

Art Unit: 2822

1989) >; Chef America, Inc. v. Lamb-Weston, Inc., 358 F.3d 1371, 1372, 69 USPQ2d 1857 (Fed. Cir. 2004).

### Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maria Guerrero whose telephone number is 571-272-1837.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 571-272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/819,687 Page 6

Art Unit: 2822

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 11, 2004

MÁRIA F. GUERRERO PRIMARY EXAMINER